

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,831	02/21/2002	Louis L. Hsu	BUR920010134	7837	
23389	7590 06/20/2003				
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, MAI HUONG C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2818		
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	•				
	09/683,831		HSU ET AL.	•				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Mai-Huong Tran		2818					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover	sh et with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minin fill apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status	T. f							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 F</u>		-1						
/	is action is non-fir							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I Disposition of Claims	ince except for foi Ex parte Quayle,	mai matters, pr 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to th	e merits is				
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	tion.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requiren	nent.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accep								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examin	er.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (†).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• • •							
Attachment(s)	- -							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,422,504 to Chang et al. in view of Rajeevakumar (5,658,816).

Regarding to claim 1, Chang et al. disclose a pair of programmable memory cells comprising a shared control gate 30/32, first and second floating gates 40 having respective gate regions located on respective sides of the control gate 30/32, and dielectric structures 34 located between the control gate and respective ones of the gates of the floating gates as set forth in cols. 4-6, and fig. 4. Chang does not disclose the control gate and the gates of the first and second floating gates are located within a space of a single lithographic square. Rajeevakumar teaches about the lithographic square as set forth in col. 2, lines 43-47.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the control gate and the gates of the first and second floating

* Application/Control Number: 09/683,831

Art Unit: 2818

gates located within a space of a single lithographic square, as taught by Rajeevakumar in order to improve the cell area and to increase the device length (abstract).

Claim 2 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 3 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 4 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 5 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 6 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 7 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 8 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 9 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 10 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 11 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 12 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 13 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 14 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 15 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 16 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 17 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 18 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Claim 19 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. Application/Control Number: 09/683,831

Art Unit: 2818

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mai-Huong Tran, (703) 305-1958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (703) 308-4910.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mai-Huong Tran

HOAIHO
PRIMARY EXAMINER